

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	S-1
S.1 Project Description	S-1
S.2 Need for the Project	S-1
S.3 Purpose of Project.....	S-1
S.4 Alternatives	S-2
S.4.1 Joint Lead Agencies' Preferred Alternative	S-3
S.5 Traffic Summary	S-4
S.6 Summary of Impacts	S-7
S.7 Permits and Clearances.....	S-9
S.8 Regulatory Compliance.....	S-9
1.0 PURPOSE AND NEED	1-1
1.1 Introduction	1-1
1.2 Study Area	1-3
1.3 Need for the Project	1-3
1.4 Purpose of Project.....	1-3
1.5 Previous Studies and Regional Plans	1-11
1.5.1 Previous Studies	1-11
1.5.1.1 Inter-Regional Corridor Alternatives Analysis (IRCAA)	1-11
1.5.1.2 Utah County I-15 Corridor Management Plan	1-11
1.5.1.3 South Salt Lake County Transit Corridor Analysis	1-11
1.5.2 Regional Plans.....	1-12
1.5.2.1 Regional Planning	1-12
1.5.2.2 Utah Valley Regional Transportation Plan: 2007-2030 (MAG 2007).....	1-12
1.5.2.3 Wasatch Front Regional Transportation Plan: 2007-2030 (WFRC 2007)	1-12
1.6 Existing Transportation System.....	1-12
1.6.1 I-15 Mainline and Interchanges.....	1-12
1.6.1.1 South Utah County Section.....	1-13
1.6.1.2 Central Utah County Section.....	1-13
1.6.1.3 North Utah County Section	1-13
1.6.1.4 South Salt Lake County Section	1-15
1.6.2 Existing Transit Facilities and Service.....	1-15
1.7 Historic Growth Rates	1-16
1.8 Existing Traffic Conditions.....	1-16
1.8.1 Existing Conditions Traffic Data Collection	1-18
1.8.2 Existing Traffic Volumes	1-19
1.8.3 Existing Mainline Traffic Operations	1-22

1.8.4	Existing Intersection Operations	1-22
1.8.4.1	Intersection Operations in South Utah County.....	1-22
1.8.4.2	Intersection Operations in Central Utah County.....	1-23
1.8.4.3	Intersection Operations in North Utah County.....	1-23
1.8.4.4	Intersection Operations in South Salt Lake County.....	1-23
1.9	Regional and Intra-County Role of I-15.....	1-23
1.10	Future Travel Demand and Traffic	1-25
1.10.1	Regional Travel Demand Forecasting Modeling	1-25
1.10.2	Year 2030 Volume Development	1-26
1.10.3	Year 2030 Mainline Traffic Operations.....	1-26
1.10.4	Year 2030 Intersection Operations	1-26
1.10.4.1	2030 Intersection Operations in South Utah County	1-26
1.10.4.2	2030 Intersection Operations in Central Utah County	1-28
1.10.4.3	2030 Intersection Operations in North Utah County.....	1-28
1.10.4.4	2030 Intersection Operations in South Salt Lake County.....	1-28
1.11	Safety and Crash Analysis	1-28
1.12	Substandard Roadway Features.....	1-33
1.12.1	On-Ramp Acceleration Length.....	1-33
1.12.2	Mainline Horizontal Curvature.....	1-33
1.12.3	Vertical Sight Distance.....	1-35
1.12.4	Structural Conditions.....	1-35
1.13	Conclusion	1-37
2.0	ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED	2-1
2.1	Alternatives Development and Screening	2-1
2.1.1	Evaluation Criteria and Definitions	2-2
2.1.1.1	Evaluation Criteria.....	2-2
2.1.1.2	Definitions	2-6
2.1.2	Initial Formulation and Screening of Alternatives.....	2-7
2.1.2.1	Alternatives Eliminated from Further Consideration.....	2-13
2.1.3	Alternatives Refinement and Screening (April 2005 – July 2005)	2-13
2.1.3.1	Alternatives Eliminated from Further Consideration.....	2-13
2.1.3.2	Alternatives Carried Forward	2-14
2.1.4	Final Alternatives Screening	2-16
2.1.4.1	Alternatives Eliminated from Further Consideration.....	2-16
2.1.4.2	Alternatives Carried Forward	2-17
2.1.5	Advancement of Commuter Rail	2-17
2.2	Detailed Description of Alternative 4	2-18
2.2.1	South Utah County Section (South Payson Interchange to University Avenue Interchange)	2-18

2.2.1.1	Mainline Improvements.....	2-18
2.2.1.2	Auxiliary Lane Improvements.....	2-24
2.2.1.3	Interchange Improvements	2-24
2.2.1.4	Bridges / Structures Replacement or Reconstruction	2-24
2.2.2	Central Utah County Section (University Avenue Interchange to Pleasant Grove Interchange)	2-25
2.2.2.1	Mainline Improvements.....	2-25
2.2.2.2	Auxiliary Lane Improvements.....	2-25
2.2.2.3	Interchanges and Frontage Roads.....	2-28
2.2.2.4	Option Area Facilities	2-28
2.2.2.5	Common Area Facilities	2-29
2.2.2.6	Bridge Replacements / Construction.....	2-29
2.2.3	North Utah County Section (Pleasant Grove Interchange to County Line)	2-30
2.2.3.1	Mainline Improvements.....	2-30
2.2.3.2	Auxiliary Lane Improvements.....	2-30
2.2.3.3	Interchange Reconstruction / Replacement	2-30
2.2.3.4	Bridge Reconstruction / Replacement.....	2-31
2.2.4	South Salt Lake County Section (County Line to 12300 South)	2-33
2.2.4.1	Mainline Improvements.....	2-33
2.2.4.2	Auxiliary Lane Improvements.....	2-33
2.2.4.3	Interchanges	2-33
2.3	Interchange Options Eliminated	2-33
2.4	Alternative 4 – Traffic Operations.....	2-35
2.4.1	Comparison of South Utah County Section Traffic Operations.....	2-35
2.4.2	Comparison of Central Utah County Section Traffic Operations.....	2-35
2.4.2.1	Option Area Traffic Operations	2-35
2.4.2.2	Common Area Traffic Operations	2-40
2.4.3	Comparison of North Utah County Section Traffic Operations.....	2-40
2.4.3.1	Traffic Comparison for New North Lehi Interchange	2-43
2.4.4	Comparison of South Salt Lake County Section Traffic Operations.....	2-43
2.4.5	Summary Comparison of Alternatives and Options	2-46
2.5	Impacts on the Transportation System.....	2-47
2.5.1	Summary of Transportation System Impacts	2-51
2.6	Joint Lead Agencies' Preferred Alternative	2-53
3.0	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT AND IMPACTS	3-1
3.1	Land Use	3-2
3.1.1	Affected Environment.....	3-2
3.1.1.1	Existing Land Uses	3-2
3.1.1.2	Land Use Controls – Planning and Zoning	3-2

3.1.1.3	Local Transportation Plans3-3
3.1.2	Land Use Impacts of Project Alternatives3-3
3.1.2.1	Alternative1: No Build.....	.3-3
3.1.2.2	Alternative 4: I-15 Widening and Reconstruction3-3
3.1.3	Mitigation3-5
3.2	Social, Demographics and Community Cohesion3-18
3.2.1	Affected Environment.....	.3-18
3.2.1.1	Demographics.....	.3-18
3.2.1.2	Community Facilities and Community Cohesion.....	.3-20
3.2.2	Alternative 1: No Build Impacts.....	.3-24
3.2.3	Alternative 4: I-15 Widening and Reconstruction3-24
3.2.3.1	Indirect Impacts.....	.3-25
3.2.4	Mitigation3-25
3.3	Environmental Justice3-26
3.3.1	Affected Environment.....	.3-26
3.3.1.1	Race and Ethnicity3-26
3.3.1.2	Limited English Proficiency3-29
3.3.1.3	Income Characteristics.....	.3-29
3.3.1.4	Summary of Environmental Justice Characteristics3-29
3.3.2	Environmental Justice Populations Outreach3-29
3.3.3	Alternative 1: No Build Impacts.....	.3-30
3.3.4	Alternative 4: I-15 Widening and Reconstruction Impacts3-30
3.3.4.1	Noise and Air Quality3-31
3.3.4.2	Visual3-31
3.3.4.3	Relocations3-31
3.3.4.4	Impacts to Social and Cultural Resources3-31
3.3.4.5	Summary of Impacts of Alternative 43-31
3.3.5	Mitigation3-32
3.4	Relocations3-33
3.4.1	Analysis Methodology3-33
3.4.2	Alternative 1: No Build Alternative3-34
3.4.3	Alternative 4: I-15 Widening and Reconstruction3-34
3.4.3.1	Indirect Impacts.....	.3-34
3.4.4	Mitigation3-34
3.5	Farmland3-37
3.5.1	Affected Environment.....	.3-37
3.5.1.1	Regulatory Context3-37
3.5.1.2	Existing Farmlands.....	.3-38
3.5.2	Farmland Impacts3-40
3.5.2.1	Alternative 1: No Build.....	.3-40

3.5.2.2	Alternative 4: I-15 Widening and Reconstruction	3-40
3.5.2.3	Comparison of Impacts – Alternative 4 Design Options.....	3-42
3.5.2.4	Indirect Impacts.....	3-53
3.5.3	Mitigation	3-53
3.6	Economics.....	3-54
3.6.1	Affected Environment.....	3-54
3.6.2	Alternative 1: No Build Impacts.....	3-58
3.6.3	Alternative 4: I-15 Widening and Reconstruction	3-58
3.6.3.1	Regional Impacts	3-58
3.6.3.2	Business Operations	3-58
3.6.3.3	Loss of Property Tax Revenue.....	3-59
3.6.3.4	Impacts of Construction Capital Investment.....	3-60
3.6.3.5	Gross Economic Impacts of I-15 Improvement Expenditures	3-61
3.6.3.6	Indirect Impacts.....	3-64
3.7	Noise	3-65
3.7.1	Affected Environment.....	3-65
3.7.1.1	Characteristics of Noise	3-65
3.7.1.2	Noise-Level Descriptors	3-66
3.7.1.3	Noise Regulations and Impact Criteria.....	3-67
3.7.2	Existing Noise Levels.....	3-67
3.7.2.1	South Utah County Section.....	3-73
3.7.2.2	Central Utah County Section.....	3-73
3.7.2.3	North Utah County Section	3-73
3.7.2.4	South Salt Lake County Section	3-73
3.7.3	Impacts of Alternatives.....	3-80
3.7.3.1	Analysis Methodology	3-80
3.7.3.2	South Utah County Noise Impacts	3-81
3.7.3.3	Central Utah County Noise Impacts	3-82
3.7.3.4	North Utah County Noise Impacts.....	3-84
3.7.3.5	South Salt Lake County Noise Impacts.....	3-86
3.7.4	Noise Mitigation	3-87
3.7.4.1	Proposed Noise Abatement	3-88
3.7.4.2	South Utah County	3-88
3.7.4.3	Central Utah County.....	3-91
3.7.4.4	North Utah County	3-93
3.7.4.5	South Salt Lake County	3-93
3.7.5	Indirect Impacts.....	3-93
3.8	Air Quality.....	3-95
3.8.1	Regulatory Setting	3-95
3.8.1.1	Pollutants of Concern.....	3-95

3.8.1.2	National Ambient Air Quality Standards	3-96
3.8.1.3	Air Quality Attainment Status	3-97
3.8.1.4	Transportation Conformity Regulations.....	3-99
3.8.1.5	Federal Mobile-Source Emission Rules	3-100
3.8.1.6	State Regulations.....	3-100
3.8.1.7	Local Air Quality Jurisdictions	3-100
3.8.2	Affected Environment.....	3-100
3.8.2.1	Climate.....	3-101
3.8.2.2	Historical and Existing Ambient Air Pollutant Concentrations	3-102
3.8.2.3	Sensitive Receptor Locations.....	3-106
3.8.3	Analysis Methodology for Air Quality Impact Assessment	3-106
3.8.3.1	Methodology for Transportation Conformity Evaluation	3-106
3.8.3.2	Methodology for Regional Criteria Pollutant and Mobile Source Air Toxics Emission Evaluation.....	3-108
3.8.4	Alternative 1: No Build	3-108
3.8.4.1	Nationwide MSAT Emission Reduction Trends.....	3-108
3.8.4.2	Regional Tailpipe Emissions	3-111
3.8.4.3	Local Ambient Air Pollutant Concentrations for Alternative 1	3-112
3.8.5	Alternative 4: I-15 Widening and Reconstruction	3-113
3.8.5.1	Regional Conformity with State Implementation Plan	3-113
3.8.5.2	Project-Level Carbon Monoxide Hot Spot Analysis.....	3-115
3.8.5.3	Qualitative Project-Level PM ₁₀ Assessment.....	3-116
3.8.5.4	Qualitative PM _{2.5} Hot-Spot Evaluation.....	3-117
3.8.5.5	Regional Trends Criteria Pollutant and MSAT Emissions	3-117
3.8.5.6	Nitrogen Dioxide, Sulfur Dioxide, and Lead	3-117
3.8.5.7	Comparison of Design Options	3-117
3.8.6	Mitigation	3-118
3.8.7	Transportation Conformity	3-118
3.9	Visual Quality	3-119
3.9.1	Affected Environment.....	3-119
3.9.1.1	South Utah County Section.....	3-119
3.9.1.2	Central Utah County Section.....	3-120
3.9.1.3	North Utah County Section	3-126
3.9.1.4	South Salt Lake County Section	3-126
3.9.2	Impacts of Alternatives.....	3-126
3.9.2.1	Alternative 1: No Build.....	3-126
3.9.2.2	Alternative 4: I-15 Widening and Reconstruction	3-126
3.9.3	Indirect Impacts.....	3-132
3.9.4	Mitigation	3-132
3.10	Pedestrian and Bicycle Transportation.....	3-133

3.10.1	Existing and Planned Facilities	3-133
3.10.1.1	South Utah County Facilities.....	3-133
3.10.1.2	Central Utah County Facilities.....	3-136
3.10.1.3	North Utah County Facilities	3-136
3.10.1.4	South Salt Lake County Facilities	3-137
3.10.2	Impacts of Alternative 1	3-140
3.10.3	Impacts of Alternative 4	3-140
3.10.4	Indirect Impacts.....	3-140
3.10.5	Mitigation	3-140
3.11	Hazard Materials	3-141
3.11.1	Methodology	3-141
3.11.1.1	Historical Records Review	3-141
3.11.1.2	Regulatory Review.....	3-141
3.11.1.3	Environmental Database Report	3-141
3.11.1.4	Environmental Database Analysis	3-144
3.11.1.5	Results of Environmental Database Review	3-144
3.11.1.6	Site Reconnaissance of the Project Corridor	3-144
3.11.2	Alternative 1: No Build Impacts	3-145
3.11.3	Alternative 4: I-15 Widening and Reconstruction Impacts	3-145
3.11.3.1	South Utah County Section.....	3-145
3.11.3.2	Central Utah County Section.....	3-147
3.11.3.3	North Utah County Section	3-148
3.11.3.4	South Salt Lake County Section	3-149
3.11.3.5	Comparison of Impacts – Alternative 4 Design Options.....	3-150
3.11.3.6	Indirect Impacts.....	3-150
3.11.4	Mitigation	3-150
3.12	Water Resources	3-151
3.12.1	Regulatory Setting	3-151
3.12.1.1	Clean Water Act	3-151
3.12.1.2	Section 303 (d) and the Utah Water Quality Act	3-152
3.12.1.3	State of Utah Stream Alteration Permit (General Permit 40).....	3-153
3.12.1.4	National Flood Insurance Program	3-153
3.12.1.5	Federal Floodplain Regulations	3-154
3.12.1.6	Local Floodplain Regulations	3-154
3.12.2	Affected Environment.....	3-155
3.12.2.1	Utah Lake / Jordan River Basin Hydrology	3-155
3.12.2.2	Utah Lake Watershed	3-155
3.12.2.3	Jordan River Watershed	3-156
3.12.2.4	Drainages within Study Area.....	3-156
3.12.2.5	Flooding	3-160

3.12.2.6	Groundwater	3-160
3.12.2.7	De-Icing Operations	3-165
3.12.3	Alternative 1: No Build	3-165
3.12.3.1	Floodplain Impacts	3-165
3.12.3.2	Construction-Related Water Quality	3-165
3.12.3.3	Surface Water and Groundwater Quality	3-167
3.12.4	Alternative 4: I-15 Widening and Reconstruction	3-167
3.12.4.1	Floodplain Impacts	3-167
3.12.4.2	Surface Water Quality	3-167
3.12.4.3	Groundwater Quality	3-172
3.12.4.4	Groundwater Rights	3-173
3.12.4.5	Comparison of Design Options	3-173
3.12.4.6	Indirect Impacts	3-174
3.12.5	Mitigation	3-174
3.13	Vegetation and Invasive Species	3-176
3.13.1	Regulatory Setting	3-176
3.13.2	Affected Environment	3-176
3.13.2.1	Physical Setting	3-176
3.13.2.2	Methodology	3-176
3.13.2.3	Riparian	3-177
3.13.2.4	Emergent Marsh	3-177
3.13.2.5	Wet Meadow	3-177
3.13.2.6	Pasture	3-177
3.13.2.7	Cropland	3-178
3.13.2.8	Salt Desert Scrub	3-178
3.13.2.9	Developed / Urban Landscaping	3-178
3.13.2.10	Invasive Species	3-178
3.13.3	Alternative 1: No Build	3-179
3.13.4	Alternative 4: I-15 Widening and Reconstruction	3-179
3.13.4.1	Comparison of Design Options	3-180
3.13.5	Mitigation	3-180
3.14	Wetlands / Waters of the United States	3-182
3.14.1	Regulatory Setting	3-182
3.14.1.1	Federal Regulations	3-182
3.14.1.2	State Regulations	3-183
3.14.2	Affected Environment	3-183
3.14.2.1	Methodology for Assessment of Existing Conditions	3-183
3.14.2.2	Existing Conditions	3-184
3.14.3	Alternative 1: No Build	3-191
3.14.4	Alternative 4: I-15 Widening and Reconstruction	3-191

3.14.4.1	South Utah County.....	3-192
3.14.4.2	Central Utah County.....	3-192
3.14.4.3	North Utah County	3-192
3.14.4.4	South Salt Lake County	3-193
3.14.4.5	Impact Summary for Alternative 4.....	3-193
3.14.4.6	Indirect Impacts.....	3-195
3.14.5	Avoidance and Minimization	3-195
3.14.6	Mitigation	3-196
3.15	Wildlife, Threatened and Endangered Species, and Special-Status Plants	3-198
3.15.1	Regulatory Setting	3-198
3.15.1.1	Federal.....	3-202
3.15.1.2	State of Utah.....	3-203
3.15.2	Affected Environment.....	3-204
3.15.2.1	Description of Special-Status Species	3-204
3.15.2.2	Methods Used to Describe the Affected Environment.....	3-208
3.15.2.3	Existing Wildlife Habitat Conditions.....	3-213
3.15.3	Impacts on Wildlife and Wildlife Habitats	3-217
3.15.3.1	Alternative 1: No Build.....	3-218
3.15.3.2	Alternative 4: I-15 Widening and Reconstruction	3-218
3.15.3.3	Comparison of Design Options	3-224
3.15.4	Mitigation	3-224
3.16	Cultural Resources.....	3-227
3.16.1	Affected Environment.....	3-227
3.16.1.1	Regulatory Setting.....	3-227
3.16.1.2	Paleontological Consultation.....	3-228
3.16.1.3	Native American Coordination	3-228
3.16.1.4	Cultural Resources Study Methodology	3-228
3.16.1.5	Resources Identified	3-230
3.16.2	Alternative 1: No Build.....	3-243
3.16.3	Alternative 4: I-15 Widening and Reconstruction	3-243
3.16.4	Indirect Impacts.....	3-251
3.16.5	Mitigation	3-251
3.17	Geology and Soils	3-253
3.17.1	Affected Environment.....	3-253
3.17.2	Alternative 1: No Build	3-254
3.17.3	Alternative 4: I-15 Widening and Reconstruction	3-254
3.17.4	Geology and Soils Mitigation	3-255
3.18	Construction Impacts	3-255
3.18.1	Construction Phasing.....	3-255

3.18.2 Construction Methodology	3-255
3.18.3 Construction Impacts Mitigation.....	3-256
3.19 Cumulative Impacts.....	3-257
3.19.1 Introduction	3-257
3.19.2 Methodology for Determining Cumulative Impacts	3-257
3.19.3 Cumulative Impacts Analysis	3-257
3.19.3.1 Cumulative Impact Concerns Identified during Scoping.....	3-257
3.19.3.2 Important Cumulative Impacts Issues	3-258
3.19.3.3 Urban Growth and Land Use	3-258
3.19.3.4 Other Actions Affecting the Resources, Ecosystems, and Human Communities of Concern.....	3-258
3.19.4 Cumulative impacts Analysis by Resource	3-272
3.19.4.1 Farmlands	3-272
3.19.4.2 Air Quality	3-273
3.19.4.3 Water Quality	3-278
3.19.4.4 Wildlife and Wetland Resources	3-280
3.19.4.5 Threatened and Endangered Species	3-281
3.19.4.6 Cultural Resources.....	3-282
3.19.4.7 Greenhouse Gases and Global Climate Change	3-282
3.20 Energy.....	3-284
3.20.1 Affected Environment.....	3-284
3.20.2 Energy Impacts	3-285
3.20.2.1 Operation Impacts.....	3-285
3.20.2.2 Construction Impacts	3-286
3.21 Short-Term Uses versus Long-Term Productivity	3-288
3.22 Irreversible and Irrecoverable Commitment of Resources	3-288
3.23 Permits and Final Approvals Required.....	3-289
3.24 Comparison of Alternatives	3-291
4.0 FINAL SECTION 4(f) EVALUATION	4-1
4.1 Introduction	4-1
4.2 Regulatory Setting.....	4-1
4.2.1 Section 4(f) of the Department of Transportation Act of 1966.....	4-1
4.2.1.1 Identifying Section 4(f) Resources	4-2
4.2.1.2 Use of Section 4(f) Resources	4-2
4.2.1.3 <i>De Minimis</i> Impact Findings	4-3
4.2.1.4 Avoidance and Minimization Alternatives.....	4-3
4.2.1.5 Least Overall Harm	4-3
4.2.2 Section 6(f) of the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act.....	4-3
4.3 Summary of Proposed Action.....	4-4

4.3.1	Summary of Purpose and Need for Action.....	.4-4
4.3.2	Summary of Alternatives.....	.4-4
4.3.2.1	Alternative 1: No Build.....	.4-4
4.3.2.2	Alternative 4: I-15 Widening and Reconstruction (Preferred Alternative)4-5
4.4	Identification of Section 4(f) Resources.....	.4-7
4.4.1	Recreation Resources4-7
4.4.1.1	Section 6(f) Resources.....	.4-13
4.4.2	Historic Properties.....	.4-13
4.4.2.1	Archaeological Resources4-14
4.4.2.2	Architectural Resources4-16
4.5	Use of Section 4(f) Resources4-18
4.5.1	Recreation Resources4-19
4.5.1.1	Direct Use4-20
4.5.1.2	Temporary Occupancy (Provo River Parkway Trail)4-20
4.5.1.3	Constructive Use.....	.4-23
4.5.1.4	Section 6(f) Resources.....	.4-24
4.5.2	Historic Properties.....	.4-24
4.5.2.1	Archaeological Resources4-24
4.5.2.2	Architectural Resources (Historic Buildings)4-27
4.5.3	Summary of Use of Section 4(f) Resources.....	.4-34
4.6	Avoidance Alternatives and Minimization Measures for Section 4(f) Resources.....	.4-35
4.6.1	Alternatives that Avoid all Section 4(f) Resources4-35
4.6.2	Avoidance Alternatives and Measures to Minimize Harm for the Direct Use (not <i>de minimis</i>) Section 4(f) Resources4-36
4.6.2.1	Provo Viaduct.....	.4-36
4.6.2.2	150 West 300 South, American Fork (Building Reference #50) and 360 West 200 South, American Fork (Building Reference #56).....	.4-39
4.6.3	Least Overall Harm4-45
4.6.4	Mitigation for Historic Properties4-45
4.7	Consultation and Coordination4-45
4.7.1	Section 6(f) Resources – USDOI, Utah Department of Natural Resources (UDNR), Division of Parks and Recreation.....	.4-45
4.7.2	Provo River Parkway Trail – USDOI, Utah Department of Natural Resources (UDNR), Division of Parks and Recreation, Provo City, and Utah County4-46
4.7.3	Historic and Archaeological Resources – Utah SHPO and ACHP Pursuant to NHPA Section 106.....	.4-46
4.7.4	Archaeological Resources – Native American Tribes4-46
4.8	Section 4(f) Determination4-46
5.0	CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION5-1
5.1	Agency Coordination.....	.5-1

5.1.1	Agency Scoping Meeting5-1
5.1.2	State and Federal Agency Consultation.....	.5-2
5.2	Tribal Consultation5-4
5.3	Metropolitan Planning Organization and Local Government Coordination.....	.5-4
5.3.1	Metropolitan Planning Organizations5-4
5.3.2	Local Governments.....	.5-4
5.4	Public Scoping5-8
5.4.1	Notice of Intent.....	.5-8
5.4.2	Public Scoping Meetings.....	.5-8
5.4.3	Issues Identified through Public Scoping5-11
5.5	Public Participation Program5-12
5.5.1	Mailings.....	.5-12
5.5.2	Community Meetings5-12
5.5.3	Public Open Houses5-15
5.5.4	Other Presentations5-16
5.5.5	Communication Tools5-16
5.6	Environmental Justice Populations Outreach.....	.5-17
5.7	Review of the EIS.....	.5-17

LIST OF PREPARERS

REFERENCES

APPENDIX A – Agency Correspondence

APPENDIX B – Interchange Options Considered

APPENDIX C – Proximity of Proposed Action to Historic Buildings

APPENDIX D – Comments and Responses

APPENDIX E – Mitigation Commitments

LIST OF TABLES

Table S-1	LOS Summary Comparison.....	S-4
Table S-2	Summary of Alternative 4 Impacts by Design Option.....	S-7
Table S-3	Required Permits and Clearances	S-9
Table 1-1	Corridor Express Bus Route Passenger Usage.....	1-15
Table 1-2	Level-of-Service Definitions	1-18
Table 1-3	2005 Average Daily and Peak Hour I-15 Traffic Volumes.....	1-21
Table 1-4	Peak Hour Level-of-Service Criteria for One-Way General Purpose Lane Volumes	1-22
Table 1-5	Year 2030 No Build I-15 Average Daily and Peak Hour Traffic Volumes.....	1-27

Table 1-6	Crash Severity Type by Segment	1-30
Table 1-7	Crash Analysis for I-15 EIS Corridor	1-31
Table 1-8	Bridge Structure Sufficiency Ratings Warranting Repair or Replacement	1-36
Table 2-1	New and Widened Arterials	2-11
Table 2-2	Volume II Cross-References	2-18
Table 2-3	LOS Comparison in Central Utah County Option Area	2-35
Table 2-4	Option Area Surface Street Delay.....	2-40
Table 2-5	LOS Summary Comparison	2-46
Table 2-6	Volume and LOS on North/South Roadways - Central Utah County Section	2-48
Table 2-7	Volume and LOS on East/West Roadways - Central Utah County Section	2-49
Table 2-8	Volume and LOS – South Utah, North Utah and South Salt Lake County Sections	2-51
Table 3.2-1	Historical and Projected Population Growth.....	3-18
Table 3.2-2	Schools and Libraries	3-21
Table 3.2-3	Religious Institutions	3-22
Table 3.2-4	Parks.....	3-23
Table 3.2-5	Other Community Facilities.....	3-24
Table 3.3-1	Racial and Ethnic Populations	3-26
Table 3.3-2	Ethnicity and Low Income by Census Block Group	3-27
Table 3.3-3	Income Characteristics	3-29
Table 3.3-4	Summary of Impacts of Alternative 4	3-32
Table 3.4-1	Summary of Alternative 4 Relocation Impacts	3-36
Table 3.5-1	Comparison of Impacts in the Provo through Orem Design Options	3-42
Table 3.5-2	Comparison of American Fork Main Street Interchange Design Options.....	3-42
Table 3.6-1	Estimated Loss of Property Taxes Revenue from Alternative 4.....	3-60
Table 3.6-2	GME Impacts of Construction of Option C or D on Utah and Salt Lake Counties.....	3-62
Table 3.6-3	GME Impacts of Construction of Option A or B on Utah and Salt Lake Counties	3-62
Table 3.6-4	GME Impacts of Construction of Option C or D on the State of Utah	3-63
Table 3.6-5	GME Impacts of Construction of Option A or B on the State of Utah.....	3-63
Table 3.6-6	Range of Gross Multiplied Economic Impacts of Construction of Alternative 4	3-64
Table 3.7-1	Weighted Noise Levels and Human Response.....	3-66
Table 3.7-2	Noise Abatement Criteria	3-67
Table 3.7-3	Existing Noise Levels.....	3-68
Table 3.7-4	Predicted 2030 Alternative 1 and Alternative 4 Noise Levels South Utah County Section	3-81
Table 3.7-5	Predicted 2030 Alternative 1 and Alternative 4 Noise Levels Central Utah County Section	3-82
Table 3.7-6	Predicted 2030 Alternative 1 and Alternative 4 Noise Levels North Utah County Section	3-84

Table 3.7-7	Predicted 2030 Alternative 1 and Alternative 4 Noise Levels South Salt Lake County Section	3-86
Table 3.7-8	South Utah County Noise Barriers	3-90
Table 3.7-9	Central Utah County Noise Barriers	3-92
Table 3.7-10	North Utah County Noise Barriers - Northbound	3-94
Table 3.8-1	National Ambient Air Quality Standards	3-96
Table 3.8-2	Attainment Area Status for Project Area	3-97
Table 3.8-3	Climatological Data for Project Area	3-101
Table 3.8-4	Carbon Monoxide Air Quality Monitoring Data	3-103
Table 3.8-5	Ozone Air Quality Monitoring Data	3-104
Table 3.8-6	PM ₁₀ Air Quality Monitoring Data	3-104
Table 3.8-7	PM _{2.5} Air Quality Monitoring Data	3-105
Table 3.8-8	Regional Emissions on Project-Influenced Roadways	3-112
Table 3.8-9	Carbon Monoxide Hot Spot Modeling Results	3-115
Table 3.8-10	CO Hot-Spot Analysis for Design Options for Eastbay Boulevard at University Avenue	3-118
Table 3.10-1	Existing and Planned Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities - South Utah County Section	3-133
Table 3.10-2	Existing and Planned Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities - Central Utah County Section ..	3-136
Table 3.10-3	Existing and Planned Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities - North Utah County Section	3-137
Table 3.10-4	Existing and Planned Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities - South Salt Lake County Section	3-137
Table 3.11-1	Environmental Databases Searched	3-142
Table 3.11-2	Potential Contamination Sources (South Utah County)	3-147
Table 3.11-3	Potential Contamination Sources (Central Utah County)	3-148
Table 3.11-4	Potential Contamination Sources (North Utah County)	3-149
Table 3.11-5	Potential Contamination Sources (South Salt Lake Utah County)	3-150
Table 3.12-1	UDEQ Beneficial Use Classifications	3-153
Table 3.12-2	Beneficial Uses of Surface Waters and Impairment Designations	3-159
Table 3.12-3	Typical Highway Runoff Contaminants	3-169
Table 3.12-4	Event Mean Concentrations During Sampled Storm Events	3-170
Table 3.12-5	Percentages of Pollutants Removed by Detention Ponds	3-170
Table 3.12-6	Approximate TDS in Snowmelt Runoff Due to Anti-Icing Operations	3-171
Table 3.12-7	Affected Groundwater Rights within the Limits of Disturbance	3-173
Table 3.12-8	Comparison of Additional Impermeable Surface Area (in acres) by Design Option.....	3-174
Table 3.13-1	Noxious Weeds of Potential Concern in Utah	3-178
Table 3.14-1	Summary of Wetland Acreage in the Interstate 15 Wetland Delineation Study Area.....	3-184
Table 3.14-2	Direct Impacts of Highway Construction on Wetlands (Acres) - South Utah County Section	3-192

Table 3.14-3	Direct Impacts of Interchange Construction on Wetlands (Acres) - Central Utah County Section	3-192
Table 3.14-4	Direct Impacts of Interchange Construction on Wetlands (Acres) - North Utah County Section	3-193
Table 3.14-5	Direct Impacts of Highway Construction on Wetlands (Acres) - South Salt Lake County Section	3-193
Table 3.14-6	Wetland Impact Summary for Alternative 4 (Acres)	3-193
Table 3.14-7	Comparison of Affected Wetland Values by Design Option	3-194
Table 3.15-1	Special-Status Species for Utah and Salt Lake Counties	3-201
Table 3.15-2	Summary of Alternative 4 Impacts to Threatened, Endangered, and Other Special-Status Species	3-223
Table 3.16-1	Previously Recorded Archaeological Resources Located in the Project APE	3-231
Table 3.16-2	Archaeological Resources within the Archaeological APE	3-231
Table 3.16-3	NRHP Listed Building	3-239
Table 3.16-4	Previously Evaluated RLS Buildings Located in the Project APE	3-239
Table 3.16-5	Summary of Eligible Historic Structures	3-240
Table 3.16-6	Impacts on Archaeological Resources	3-244
Table 3.16-7	Impacts on Historic Buildings	3-246
Table 3.19-1	Present and Reasonably Foreseeable Transit and Roadway Actions	3-267
Table 3.19-2	Present and Reasonably Foreseeable Development Actions	3-271
Table 3.19-3	Sources of Water Quality Impairment in the Utah Lake - Jordan River Management Unit, 2002	3-278
Table 3.19-4	Current and Projected Utah Highway CO ₂ Emissions	3-283
Table 3.20-1	Daily Operational Energy Consumption	3-286
Table 3.20-2	Construction Energy Consumption Factors (2002 Dollars)	3-287
Table 3.20-3	Total Construction Energy Consumption	3-287
Table 3.23-1	Required Permits and Clearances	3-289
Table 3.24-1	Comparison of Alternatives	3-291
Table 4-1	Recreation Resources Located within the I-15 Corridor	4-7
Table 4-2	Archaeological Resources	4-14
Table 4-3	Architectural Resources	4-16
Table 4-4	Section 4(f) Use of Recreation Resources	4-19
Table 4-5	Description of Section 4(f) Use to Archaeological Resources	4-25
Table 4-6	Description of Section 4(f) Uses to Architectural Resources	4-27
Table 4-7	Summary of Use of Section 4(f) Resources	4-35
Table 5-1	State and Federal Agency Consultation Meetings	5-2
Table 5-2	MAG Regional Planning Presentations	5-4
Table 5-3	Local Government Staff Participation	5-5

Table 5-4	City Council and Planning Commission Presentations5-7
Table 5-5	News Media Notification5-8
Table 5-6	Study Area Cities Represented at Scoping Meetings5-9
Table 5-7	Salt Lake and Davis County Attendance.....	.5-9
Table 5-8	Distribution of Notification Postcards5-10
Table 5-9	Distribution of Scoping Booklets5-10
Table 5-10	Summary of Community Outreach during Scoping Period5-10
Table 5-11	Summary of Transportation Issues Identified in Public Comments.....	.5-11
Table 5-12	Stakeholder Mailings.....	.5-12
Table 5-13	Speakers Bureau Presentations to Community Groups5-15
Table 5-14	Notification Methods for Public Open Houses.....	.5-15
Table 5-15	Summary of Public Meetings and Attendance5-15
Table 5-16	Presentations to the Utah Transportation Commission.....	.5-16
Table 5-17	Summary of Communications.....	.5-17
Table 5-18	Distribution List for Hard Copies of the DEIS5-17

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure S-1	Mainline I-15: 2005, 2030 No Build, and 2030 Alternative 4 Level of Service	S-5
Figure S-2	Schematic of Alternatives Development and Screening.....	S-6
Figure 1-1	I-15 Corridor Study Area Map	1-5
Figure 1-2	Mainline I-15 2005 Existing Level of Service vs. 2030 No Build Level of Service	1-6
Figure 1-3	Intersection Level of Service PM Peak – Existing (2005) and 2030 No Build South Utah County Section	1-7
Figure 1-4	Intersection Level of Service PM Peak – Existing (2005) and 2030 No Build Central Utah County Section.....	1-8
Figure 1-5	Intersection Level of Service PM Peak – Existing (2005) and 2030 No Build North Utah County Section.....	1-9
Figure 1-6	Intersection Level of Service PM Peak – Existing (2005) and 2030 No Build South Salt Lake County Section.....	1-10
Figure 1-7	Existing I-15 Corridor Roadway Configuration/Number of Lanes	1-14
Figure 1-8	Existing & Historical Population vs. Vehicle Miles Traveled and Traffic Volumes for Utah County	1-17
Figure 1-9	I-15 Traffic Volumes by Time of Day	1-20
Figure 1-10	2030 Home Based Work Daily Person Trips	1-24
Figure 1-11	Safety Issues / Areas of Concern.....	1-32
Figure 1-12	Substandard Roadway Features.....	1-34
Figure 2-1	Schematic of Alternatives Development and Screening.....	2-4
Figure 2-2	Screenline Locations	2-5

Figure 2-3	Existing I-15 Corridor Roadway Configuration/Number of Lanes2-8
Figure 2-4	Proposed I-15 Lane Configuration.....	.2-9
Figure 2-5	Initial Alternatives.....	.2-12
Figure 2-6	Proposed I-15 Typical Cross Sections: 6 Lane and 8 Lane.....	.2-19
Figure 2-7	Proposed I-15 Typical Cross Sections: 10 Lane and 12 Lane.....	.2-20
Figure 2-8	Proposed I-15 Typical Cross Section: 10 Lane with Frontage Roads2-21
Figure 2-9	Proposed Improvements: South Utah County Section2-23
Figure 2-10	Proposed Improvements: Central Utah County Section.....	.2-26
Figure 2-11	Proposed Improvements: Provo - Orem Options Section2-27
Figure 2-12	Proposed Improvements: North Utah County Section.....	.2-32
Figure 2-13	Proposed Improvements: South Salt Lake County Section.....	.2-34
Figure 2-14	Mainline Level of Service - Existing, 2030 No Build, and 2030 Build - South Utah County2-36
Figure 2-15	Intersection Level of Service PM Peak - Existing, 2030 No Build, and 2030 Build - South Utah County2-37
Figure 2-16	Mainline Level of Service - Existing, 2030 No Build, and 2030 Build - Central Utah County.....	.2-38
Figure 2-17	Intersection Level of Service PM Peak - Existing, 2030 No Build, and 2030 Build - Central Utah County.....	.2-39
Figure 2-18	Mainline Level of Service - Existing, 2030 No Build, and 2030 Build - North Utah County.....	.2-41
Figure 2-19	Intersection Level of Service PM Peak - Existing, 2030 No Build, and 2030 Build - North Utah County.....	.2-42
Figure 2-20	Mainline Level of Service - Existing, 2030 No Build, and 2030 Build - South Salt Lake County.....	.2-44
Figure 2-21	Intersection Level of Service PM Peak - Existing, 2030 No Build, and 2030 Build - South Salt Lake County.....	.2-45
Figure 2-22	Mainline I-15: 2005, 2030 No Build, and 2030 Preferred Alternative Level of Service ..	.2-54
Figure 3.1-1	Existing Land Use in Provo3-6
Figure 3.1-2	Existing Land Use in Orem.....	.3-7
Figure 3.1-3	Existing Land Use in American Fork3-8
Figure 3.1-4	Existing Land Use in Lehi.....	.3-9
Figure 3.1-5	Zoning Map of Provo3-10
Figure 3.1-6	Zoning Map of Orem3-11
Figure 3.1-7	Zoning Map of American Fork3-12
Figure 3.1-8	Zoning Map of Lehi.....	.3-13
Figure 3.1-9	Provo City General Plan3-14
Figure 3.1-10	Orem General Plan3-15
Figure 3.1-11	American Fork City General Plan3-16

Figure 3.1-12	Lehi City General Plan	3-17
Figure 3.2-1	Household and Population Growth, 2000 to 2030	3-19
Figure 3.3-1	Census Block Groups.....	3-28
Figure 3.5-1	Agricultural Protection Areas in the I-15 Project Corridor	3-39
Figure 3.5-2	Agricultural Protection Areas & Impacts - South Payson	3-43
Figure 3.5-3	Agricultural Protection Areas & Impacts - Spanish Fork.....	3-44
Figure 3.5-4	Agricultural Protection Areas & Impacts - Orem.....	3-45
Figure 3.5-5	Agricultural Protection Areas & Impacts - American Fork.....	3-46
Figure 3.5-6	Agricultural Protection Areas & Impacts - American Fork Option A.....	3-47
Figure 3.5-7	Agricultural Protection Areas & Impacts - American Fork Option B.....	3-48
Figure 3.5-8	Agricultural Protection Areas & Impacts - American Fork Option C (Preferred)	3-49
Figure 3.5-9	Agricultural Protection Areas & Impacts - American Fork Option A.....	3-50
Figure 3.5-10	Agricultural Protection Areas & Impacts - American Fork Option B.....	3-51
Figure 3.5-11	Agricultural Protection Areas & Impacts - American Fork Option C (Preferred)	3-52
Figure 3.6-1	Utah County Non-Agricultural Employment by Industry, 1950-2000	3-55
Figure 3.6-2	Salt Lake County Non-Agricultural Employment by Industry, 1950-2000	3-55
Figure 3.6-3	Employment, 2001-2030 Utah and Salt Lake Counties.....	3-56
Figure 3.6-4	Historic Unemployment, 1980-2006 Utah and Salt Lake Counties.....	3-57
Figure 3.7-1	Receivers and Proposed Noise Barriers	3-74
Figure 3.7-2	Receivers and Proposed Noise Barriers	3-75
Figure 3.7-3	Receivers and Proposed Noise Barriers	3-76
Figure 3.7-4	Receivers and Proposed Noise Barriers	3-77
Figure 3.7-5	Receivers and Proposed Noise Barriers	3-78
Figure 3.7-6	Receivers and Proposed Noise Barriers	3-79
Figure 3.8-1	Wind Rose for Salt Lake City	3-102
Figure 3.8-2	Benefits of the EPA's Nationwide MSAT Regulations	3-111
Figure 3.9-1	Viewpoint Locations and Directions	3-121
Figure 3.9-2	Viewpoint Locations A & B	3-122
Figure 3.9-3	Viewpoint Locations C & D	3-123
Figure 3.9-4	Viewpoint Locations E & F	3-124
Figure 3.9-5	Viewpoint Locations G & H.....	3-125
Figure 3.9-6	Viewpoint Locations I & J	3-127
Figure 3.9-7	Viewpoint Locations K & L.....	3-128
Figure 3.9-8	Viewpoint Locations M & N	3-129
Figure 3.10-1	Pedestrian & Bike Facilities - South Utah County Trails and I-15 Interface.....	3-134
Figure 3.10-2	Pedestrian & Bike Facilities - Central Utah County Trails and I-15 Interface	3-135
Figure 3.10-3	Pedestrian & Bike Facilities - North Utah County Trails and I-15 Interface	3-138
Figure 3.10-4	Pedestrian & Bike Facilities - South Salt Lake County Trails and I-15 Interface	3-139

Figure 3.11-1	Hazardous Material Sites	3-146
Figure 3.12-1	Surface Waters and Canals Near Project Area	3-157
Figure 3.12-2	Jordan River and Utah Lake Watershed Unit Beneficial Use Classifications as Designation by UDEQ.....	3-158
Figure 3.12-3	FEMA-Delineated Floodplains Near Project Area	3-161
Figure 3.12-4	Groundwater Movement and Recharge in the Great Salt Lake Basin.....	3-162
Figure 3.12-5	Groundwater Recharge and Discharge Areas in the Great Salt Lake Basin Study Unit.....	3-164
Figure 3.12-6	Groundwater Rights in the Project Area	3-166
Figure 3.14-1	General Location of Wetland Cover Types – South Utah County	3-187
Figure 3.14-2	General Location of Wetland Cover Types – Central Utah County	3-188
Figure 3.14-3	General Location of Wetland Cover Types – North Utah County.....	3-189
Figure 3.14-4	General Location of Wetland Cover Types – South Salt Lake County	3-190
Figure 3.15-1	Wildlife and Special Status Species Project Study Area	3-199
Figure 3.15-2	Wildlife and Special Status Species Regional Study Area	3-200
Figure 3.15-3	Land Cover in the Wildlife and Special Status Species Project Study Area – South Utah County.....	3-209
Figure 3.15-4	Land Cover in the Wildlife and Special Status Species Project Study Area – Central Utah County.....	3-210
Figure 3.15-5	Land Cover in the Wildlife and Special Status Species Project Study Area – North Utah County.....	3-211
Figure 3.15-6	Land Cover in the Wildlife and Special Status Species Project Study Area – South Salt Lake County.....	3-212
Figure 3.16-1	General Location of Historic Resources – South Utah County.....	3-235
Figure 3.16-2	General Location of Historic Resources – Central Utah County.....	3-236
Figure 3.16-3	General Location of Historic Resources – North Utah County	3-237
Figure 3.16-4	General Location of Historic Resources – South Salt Lake County	3-238
Figure 3.19-1	Population Growth in Utah and Salt Lake Counties, 1850 to 2000.....	3-259
Figure 3.19-2	Greater Wasatch Front Developed Land 2006.....	3-261
Figure 3.19-3	Greater Wasatch Front Developed Land 2030.....	3-262
Figure 3.19-4	Present and Reasonably Foreseeable Transportation Actions - Utah County	3-263
Figure 3.19-5	Present and Reasonably Foreseeable Transportation Actions - Salt Lake County	3-264
Figure 3.19-6	Present and Reasonably Foreseeable Development Actions	3-265
Figure 3.19-7	CO Second-Highest 8-Hour Concentration	3-274
Figure 3.19-8	Nitrogen Dioxide Annual Averages.....	3-274
Figure 3.19-9	Three-Year Average Fourth-Highest 8-Hour Ozone Concentration	3-275
Figure 3.19-10	PM ₁₀ Annual Mean Concentration	3-275
Figure 3.19-11	Sulfur Dioxide Second-Highest 24-Hour Values.....	3-276
Figure 3.20-1	State of Utah Fuel Consumption Trend	3-285

Figure 4-1	Summary of Proposed Action.....	4-6
Figure 4-2	Section 4(f) Resources in the Study Area in South and Central Utah County.....	4-9
Figure 4-3	Section 4(f) Resources in the Study Area in North Utah County and South Salt Lake County.....	4-11
Figure 4-4	Potential Detours – Provo River Parkway Trail	4-22
Figure 4-5	Avoidance Alternative for the Provo Viaduct	4-37
Figure 4-6	Proposed Action for American Fork Area	4-41
Figure 4-7	Alignment Shift for American Fork.....	4-43